arXiv:math/0509304v2 [math.NT] 7 May 2006

DIAMOND THEOREM FOR A FINITELY GENERATED FREE PROFINITE GROUP

LIOR BARY-SOROKER

Abstract. We extend Haran's Diamond Theorem to closed subgroups of a finitely generated free profinite group. This gives an affirmative answer to Problem 25.4.9 in [FrJ].

Introduction

Haran's Diamond Theorem for Hilbertian fields roughly states that every extension M of a Hilbertian field K "captured" between two Galois extensions M_1 and M_2 of K is itself Hilbertian [Har], [FrJ, Thm. 13.8.3]. The Diamond Theorem has an analog for profinite groups, also due to Haran:

Theorem A. *Let m be an infinite cardinal. Let $F = \hat{F}_m$ be the free profinite group of rank m, M_1, M_2 closed normal subgroups of F, and M a closed subgroup of F satisfying $M_1 \cap M_2 \leq M$ and $M_i \not\leq M$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then $M \cong \hat{F}_m$ [FrJ, Thm. 25.4.3].*

Problem 25.4.9 of [FrJ] asks for a generalization of Theorem A to the case where m is finite. A first step toward the solution of that problem is taken in [Jar]. Proposition 1.3 of [Jar] proves an analog of a theorem of Weissauer for profinite groups:

Theorem B. *Let $F = \hat{F}_e$ with $e \geq 2$ an integer, M a closed subgroup of F of an infinite index, N a closed normal subgroup of F contained in M, and M_0 an open subgroup of M which does not contain N. Then $M_0 \cong \hat{F}_\omega$.*

Building on Theorems A and B, we settle here Problem 25.4.9 of [FrJ] by proving a diamond theorem for free profinite groups of finite rank:

Theorem C. *Let $F = \hat{F}_e$ with $e \geq 2$ an integer, M_1, M_2 closed normal subgroups of F, and M a closed subgroup of F with $(F : M) = \infty$, $M_1 \cap M_2 \leq M$, $M_1 \not\leq M$, and $M_2 \not\leq M$. Then $M \cong \hat{F}_\omega$.*

Date: February 2, 2008.

The proof of Theorem A (at least in the case $m = \aleph_0$) is reduced to solving a finite embedding problem

$$(\varphi\colon F \to G,\, \alpha\colon A \operatorname{wr}_{G_0} G \to G),$$

where G is a finite group, A is a finite nontrivial group, G_0 is a subgroup of G acting on A, and $A \operatorname{wr}_{G_0} G$ is the twisted wreath product. This embedding problem has a solution because every finite embedding problem for $\hat{F}_\omega$ has a solution. The same is true in the case $F = \hat{F}_e$, with e an integer, if $e \geq \operatorname{rank}(A \operatorname{wr}_{G_0} G)$. However, in general, this inequality does not hold.

We observe that $\operatorname{rank}(A \operatorname{wr}_{G_0} G) \leq |G| + \operatorname{rank}(A)$. So, if we replace F by an open subgroup E containing M, then by Nielsen-Schreier $\operatorname{rank}(E)$ increases (linearly depending on $(F : E)$). The main problem is that replacing F by E changes the embedding problem (φ, α). In this change the order of G may increase and with it also $|G| + \operatorname{rank}(A)$. The precise condition when it is possible to choose E such that the rank condition holds is stated in Proposition 1.2. The condition of Proposition 1.2 holds if there are "many" subgroups between F and M (Lemma 2.6). In this case we say that M is an "abundant subgroup of F".

It may happen that there are not enough closed subgroups between F and one of the subgroups M, MM_1, or MM_2 (in which case, the corresponding subgroup is called "sparse" - Definition 2.1). In this case, the above proof does not work, so we prove that $M \cong \hat{F}_\omega$ directly (Lemma 2.4) or by using either Theorem A or Theorem B.

In the last section we generalize Theorem C to pro-$\mathcal{C}$ groups, where $\mathcal{C}$ is a Melnikov formation of finite groups. We also transfer Theorem C to the theory of Hilbertian fields and prove the following result:

Theorem D. *Let K be a PAC field with a finitely generated free absolute Galois group of rank at least 2. Let M_1 and M_2 be Galois extensions of K. Then every infinite extension M of K in M_1M_2 which is contained neither in M_1 nor in M_2 is a Hilbertian field.*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: The author is grateful to Dan Haran and Moshe Jarden for their comments and suggestions on drafts of this manuscript.

1. Haran's wreath product trick

We use twisted wreath product in order to prove the Diamond Theorem under certain conditions.

Remark 1.1(Twisted Wreath Product). Let G and A be finite groups and let G_0 be a subgroup of G acting on A. We define an action of G on

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{G_0}^G A = \{f\colon G \to A \mid\ f(\sigma\rho) = f(\sigma)^\rho,\ \sigma \in G,\ \rho \in G_0\}$$

by $f^\sigma(\tau) = f(\sigma\tau)$. The corresponding semidirect product $A \,\mathrm{wr}_{G_0} G := G \ltimes \mathrm{Ind}_{G_0}^G A$ is called the twisted wreath product [FrJ, Def. 13.7.2]. There is a natural quotient map $A \,\mathrm{wr}_{G_0} G \to G$ which is defined by $(\sigma, f) \mapsto \sigma$, $\sigma \in G$, $f \in \mathrm{Ind}_{G_0}^G A$. For $a \in A$, we define $f_a \in \mathrm{Ind}_{G_0}^G A$ by $f_a(\sigma) = a^\sigma$ for $\sigma \in G_0$ and $f_a(\sigma) = 1$ for $\sigma \in G \smallsetminus G_0$. The map $a \mapsto f_a$ embeds A into $\mathrm{Ind}_{G_0}^G A \le A \,\mathrm{wr}_{G_0} G$. Finally, we observe that $A \,\mathrm{wr}_{G_0} G$ is generated by $G \cup A$ and thus $\mathrm{rank}(A \,\mathrm{wr}_{G_0} G) \le \mathrm{rank}(G) + \mathrm{rank}(A) \le |G| + \mathrm{rank}(A)$. □

Proposition 1.2. *Let $e \ge 2$ be an integer. Let $F = \hat{F}_e$ be the free profinite group of rank e, M_1, M_2 closed normal subgroups of F, and M a closed subgroup of F satisfying $M_1 \cap M_2 \le M$ and $(F : M) = \infty$. Suppose that:*
(*) for every $r \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists an open subgroup E of F and an open subgroup E_0 of E containing M such that the following holds:

(1a) $(M_i \cap E : M_i \cap E_0) \ge 3$ *for* $i = 1, 2$.
(1b) $(F : E) \ge r \cdot (E : E_{00})$, *where* $E_{00} = \bigcap_{\sigma \in E} E_0^\sigma$.

Then $M \cong \hat{F}_\omega$.

Proof. We break the proof into five parts.
Part A: *Embedding problem for M.* As a subgroup of $\hat{F}_e$, M is a projective group of rank at most $\aleph_0$. Therefore, it suffices to show that every finite split embedding problem has a solution [FrJ, Lemma 24.8.2]. Consider an embedding problem

$$(\mu\colon M \to B,\ \beta\colon B \ltimes A \to B) \tag{2}$$

in which A, B are finite groups, B acts on A, β is the quotient map, and μ is an epimorphism. We have to find an epimorphism $\nu\colon M \to B \ltimes A$ with $\beta \circ \nu = \mu$.

In order to do so, choose an open normal subgroup D of F with $M \cap D \le \mathrm{Ker}(\mu)$ and put $r = (F : D) + \mathrm{rank}(A)$. Condition (*) gives an open subgroup E of F and an open subgroup E_0 of E containing M which satisfy (1a) and (1b) with respect to r. Consider the open normal subgroup $L = E_{00} \cap D$ of E. These subgroups satisfy

$$(E : L) = (E : E_{00})(E_{00} : L) \leq (E : E_{00})(F : D). \tag{3}$$

For $i = 1, 2$ write $M_i' = M_i \cap E$. Then, by (1a),

$$(M_i'ML : ML) = (M_i' : M_i' \cap ML) \geq (M_i \cap E : M_i \cap E_0) \geq 3.$$

In addition, since $e \geq 2$, it follows by (1b) and (3) that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + (F : E)(e-1) &\geq 1 + (E : E_{00}) \cdot r(e-1) \\ &\geq (E : E_{00})((F : D) + \mathrm{rank}(A))(e-1) \\ &\geq (E : L) + \mathrm{rank}(A) \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$M_2' \not\leq ML \quad \text{and} \quad (M_1'ML : ML) \geq 3, \tag{4a}$$

$$1 + (F : E)(e-1) \geq (E : L) + \mathrm{rank}(A). \tag{4b}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M_i \arrow[rrr, dash] \arrow[d, dash] & & & E \arrow[dddd, dash] \\
M_i' \arrow[r, dash] \arrow[d, dash] & M_i'ML \arrow[r, dash] \arrow[d, dash] & E \arrow[ur, dash] \arrow[d, dash] & \\
M_i' \cap E_0 \arrow[r, dash] \arrow[d, dash] & (M_i' \cap E_0)ML \arrow[r, dash] \arrow[d, dash] & E_0 \arrow[d, dash] & \\
M_i' \cap ML \arrow[r, dash] \arrow[d, dash] & ML \arrow[d, dash] & E_{00} & \\
M_i' \cap L \arrow[r, dash] & L \arrow[rr, dash] \arrow[ur, dash] & & D
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since $M \cap L \leq M \cap D \leq \mathrm{Ker}(\mu)$, we can extend μ to an epimorphism $\varphi_1 \colon ML \to B$ by $\varphi_1(ml) = \mu(m)$ for $m \in M$ and $l \in L$.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M \arrow[r, dash] \arrow[d, dash] & ML \arrow[r, dash] \arrow[d, dash] & F \\
\mathrm{Ker}(\mu) \arrow[r, dash] \arrow[d, dash] & \mathrm{Ker}(\varphi_1) \arrow[d, dash] & \\
M \cap L \arrow[r, dash] & L &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let $G_0 = ML/L$ and let $\varphi_0 \colon ML \to G_0$ be the quotient map. Then, $G_0 = ML/L = \varphi_0(ML) = \varphi_0(M)$. By definition, $L \leq \mathrm{Ker}(\varphi_1)$, so φ_1

decomposes as in the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & ML \arrow[d, "\varphi_0"'] \arrow[dd, bend left, two heads, "\varphi_1"] \\
G_0 \ltimes A \arrow[r, "\alpha_0"] \arrow[d, "\rho"'] & G_0 \arrow[d, two heads, "\bar{\varphi}_1"'] \\
B \ltimes A \arrow[r, "\beta"] & B
\end{tikzcd}$$

The action of G_0 on A is defined via $\bar{\varphi}_1$. In other words: $a^\sigma = a^{\bar{\varphi}_1(\sigma)}$ for $a \in A$, $\sigma \in G_0$. Also, $\rho|_{G_0} = \bar{\varphi}_1$ and $\rho|_A = \mathrm{id}_A$.

Part B: *The rank and index condition for E.* As an open subgroup of F, ML is free. If we knew that $\mathrm{rank}(ML) \geq \mathrm{rank}(B \ltimes A)$, we could find a solution to the embedding problem (φ_1, β). However, we cannot ensure that this solution maps M onto $B \ltimes A$. In order to overcome this difficulty we show in Part C how the embedding problem (φ_0, α_0) induces an embedding problem $(\varphi\colon F \to G,\ \alpha\colon A \,\mathrm{wr}_{G_0} G \to G)$ a solution of which leads to a solution of (2). Again, in order to solve (φ, α) we need that $\mathrm{rank}(F) \geq \mathrm{rank}(A \,\mathrm{wr}_{G_0} G)$. This condition is not necessary fulfilled for F. But it is fulfilled for E, as we now show.

Let $G = E/L$ and $G_i = M_i'L/L$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then $(G : G_0) = (E : ML)$. By Nielsen-Schreier [FrJ, Prop. 17.6.2], E is free of rank $e' = 1 + (F : E)(e-1)$. Thus, since $\mathrm{rank}(A \,\mathrm{wr}_{G_0} G) \leq \mathrm{rank}(G) + \mathrm{rank}(A)$ and by (4b),

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{rank}(A \,\mathrm{wr}_{G_0} G) &\leq \mathrm{rank}(G) + \mathrm{rank}(A) \\
&\leq |G| + \mathrm{rank}(A) \\
&= (E : L) + \mathrm{rank}(A) \leq e'
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (4a),

$$G_2 \not\leq G_0 \quad \text{and} \quad (G_1 G_0 : G_0) \geq 3. \tag{5a}$$

$$\mathrm{rank}(A \,\mathrm{wr}_{G_0} G) \leq e'. \tag{5b}$$

Part C: *Twisted wreath product.* The quotient map $\varphi\colon E \to G$ extends $\varphi_0\colon ML \to G_0$. Let $\alpha\colon A \,\mathrm{wr}_{G_0} G \to G$ be the quotient map of the twisted wreath product. By (5b) and by the freeness of E ([FrJ, Prop. 17.7.3]), it follows that there exists an epimorphism $\psi\colon E \to A \,\mathrm{wr}_{G_0} G$ such that $\alpha \circ \psi = \varphi$.

Every element of $A \,\mathrm{wr}_{G_0} G = G \ltimes \mathrm{Ind}_{G_0}^G A$ mapped by α to G_0 is in $G_0 \ltimes \mathrm{Ind}_{G_0}^G A$. In particular, $\varphi(ML) = G_0$ implies that $\psi(ML) \leq G_0 \ltimes \mathrm{Ind}_{G_0}^G A$.

Define an epimorphism $\pi\colon \mathrm{Ind}_{G_0}^G A \to A$ by $\pi(f) = f(1)$. Then,

$$\pi(f)^{\sigma_0} = f(1)^{\sigma_0} = f(\sigma_0) = f^{\sigma_0}(1) = \pi(f^{\sigma_0}), \quad \forall \sigma_0 \in G_0.$$

Thus, π extends to an epimorphism $\pi\colon G_0 \ltimes \mathrm{Ind}_{G_0}^G A \to G_0 \ltimes A$ with $\pi|_{G_0} = \mathrm{id}_{G_0}$. The following commutative diagram sums up this information.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & & ML \arrow[dl, "\psi|_{ML}"'] \arrow[d, "\varphi_0"] & \\
1 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Ind}_{G_0}^G A \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\pi"] & G_0 \ltimes \mathrm{Ind}_{G_0}^G A \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[d, "\pi"] & G_0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 1 \\
1 \arrow[r] & A \arrow[r] & G_0 \ltimes A \arrow[r, "\alpha_0"] & G_0 \arrow[r] & 1
\end{tikzcd}$$

Consider the closed normal subgroup $N = L \cap M_1' \cap M_2'$ of E and ML. By assumption, $M_1 \cap M_2 \leq M$, so $N \leq M$.

PART D: $\pi(\psi(N)) = A$. Indeed, $\alpha(\psi(N)) = \varphi(N) = 1$, so $\psi(N) \leq \mathrm{Ind}_{G_0}^G A$. In addition the fact that $N \triangleleft E$ implies that $\psi(N) \triangleleft A \,\mathrm{wr}_{G_0} G$. Hence, $A_1 = \pi(\psi(N))$ is a normal subgroup of $G_0 \ltimes A$ contained in A. In particular, G_0 preserves A_1.

Assume that $\bar{A} = A/A_1$ is not trivial. Then G_0 acts on $\bar{A}$ and we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & & E \arrow[dl, "\psi"'] \arrow[d, "\varphi"] & \\
1 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Ind}_{G_0}^G A \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\lambda"] & A \,\mathrm{wr}_{G_0} G \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[d, "\lambda"] & G \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 1 \\
1 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Ind}_{G_0}^G \bar{A} \arrow[r] & \bar{A} \,\mathrm{wr}_{G_0} G \arrow[r, "\bar{\alpha}"] & G \arrow[r] & 1
\end{tikzcd}$$

where λ is the epimorphism induced by the quotient map $A \to \bar{A}$. Now, $\psi(N) \leq \pi^{-1}(A_1) = \{f \in \mathrm{Ind}_{G_0}^G A \mid f(1) \in A_1\}$ and $\psi(N)$ is preserved by G. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi(N) &\leq \bigcap_{\sigma \in G} \{f \in \mathrm{Ind}_{G_0}^G(A) \mid f(1) \in A_1\}^\sigma \\
&= \bigcap_{\sigma \in G} \{f \in \mathrm{Ind}_{G_0}^G(A) \mid f(\sigma) \in A_1\} = \mathrm{Ker}(\lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\lambda(\psi(N)) = 1. \tag{6}$$

For $i = 1, 2$, put $H_i = \lambda(\psi(M_i'))$. Then $H_i \triangleleft \bar{A} \operatorname{wr}_{G_0} G$ and $\bar{\alpha}(H_i) = G_i$. By (5a) there exists $h_2 \in H_2$ with $\bar{\alpha}(h_2) \notin G_0$. By (5a) and [FrJ, Lemma 13.7.4(a)] there exists $h_1 \in H_1$ such that $\bar{\alpha}(h_1) = 1$ and $[h_1, h_2] \neq 1$. For $i = 1, 2$ choose $x_i \in M_i'$ such that $\lambda(\psi(x_i)) = h_i$. Then $\varphi(x_1) = \bar{\alpha}(h_1) = 1$ and thus $x_1 \in L$. Hence,

$$[x_1, x_2] \in [L, M_2'] \cap [M_1', M_2'] \leq L \cap M_1' \cap M_2' = N.$$

It follows from (6) that

$$[h_1, h_2] = [\lambda(\psi(x_1)), \lambda(\psi(x_2))] = \lambda(\psi[x_1, x_2]) \in \lambda(\psi(N)) = 1,$$

in contradiction to the choice of h_1. Consequently, $\pi(\psi(N)) = A$.

Part E: *A solution of the original embedding problem.* The maps we have defined so far give the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & & ML \arrow[dl, "\psi|_{ML}"'] \arrow[d, "\varphi_0"] \arrow[ddd, bend left, "\varphi_1"] & \\
1 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Ind}_{G_0}^{G} A \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\pi"'] & G_0 \ltimes \mathrm{Ind}_{G_0}^{G} A \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[d, "\pi"'] & G_0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 1 \\
1 \arrow[r] & A \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & G_0 \ltimes A \arrow[r, "\alpha_0"] \arrow[d, "\rho"'] & G_0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\bar{\varphi}_1"'] & 1 \\
1 \arrow[r] & A \arrow[r] & B \ltimes A \arrow[r, "\beta"] & B \arrow[r] & 1
\end{tikzcd}$$

In particular, $\beta \circ \rho \circ \pi \circ \psi|_M = \varphi_1|_M = \mu$. By Part D,

$$\rho(\pi(\psi(M))) \geq \rho(\pi(\psi(N))) = \rho(A) = A.$$

Hence, $\rho(\pi(\psi(M))) = B \ltimes A$. Consequently, $\rho \circ \pi \circ \psi|_M$ is a solution of the embedding problem (2), as desired. $\square$

The next lemma replaces Condition (*) by a more convenient one. We use the next observation in the lemma and in the rest of the paper: Let E_0 be an open subgroup of a profinite group F. Then $E_{00} = \bigcap_{\sigma \in F} E_0^{\sigma}$ is an open normal subgroup of F and $(F : E_{00}) \leq (F : E_0)!$. Indeed, the action of F on the right cosets of E_0 in F induces a homomorphism of F into the group of permutations S_n, where $n = (F : E_0)$, whose kernel is exactly E_{00}.

Lemma 1.3. *Let $F = \hat{F}_e$ with $e \geq 2$ an integer, M_1, M_2 closed normal subgroups of F, and M a closed subgroup of F satisfying $M_1 \cap M_2 \leq M$ and $(M_i : M_i \cap M) = \infty$ for $i = 1, 2$. Suppose F has for each $s \in \mathbb{N}$ open subgroups $E_1 \leq E$ containing M such that $(F : E) \geq s \cdot (E : E_1)!$ and for each $i \in \{1, 2\}$ either*

(7a) *$M_i \leq E$ or*
(7b) *$M_iE_1 = F$ and $(E : E_1) \geq 3$.*

Then $M \cong \hat{F}_\omega$.

Proof. We prove that Condition (*) in Proposition 1.2 is fulfilled.

Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $(M_i : M_i \cap M) = \infty$, F has an open subgroup H containing M such that $(M_i : M_i \cap H) \geq 3$ for $i = 1, 2$. Put $s = r \cdot (F : H)!$. The assumption of the lemma gives open subgroups $E_1 \leq E$ containing M such that $(F : E) \geq s \cdot (E : E_1)!$ and for each $i \in \{1, 2\}$ either (7a) or (7b) holds. Set $E_0 = H \cap E_1$, $E_{00} = \bigcap_{\sigma \in E} E_0^\sigma$, $E_{11} = \bigcap_{\sigma \in E} E_1^\sigma$ and $H_{00} = \bigcap_{\sigma \in F} H^\sigma$. Then $H_{00} \cap E_{11} \leq \bigcap_{\sigma \in E}(H^\sigma \cap E_1^\sigma) = \bigcap_{\sigma \in E} E_0^\sigma = E_{00}$.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H_{00} \arrow[rr, dash] \arrow[dddd, dash] & & H \arrow[r, dash] \arrow[dd, dash] & F \arrow[d, dash] \\
 & & & E \arrow[d, dash] \\
 & & E_0 \arrow[r, dash] \arrow[dl, dash] & E_1 \arrow[dd, dash] \\
 & E_{00} \arrow[dl, dash] & & \\
H_{00} \cap E_{11} \arrow[rrr, dash] & & & E_{11}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
(E : E_{00}) &\leq (E : H_{00} \cap E_{11}) \\
&= (E : E_{11})(E_{11} : H_{00} \cap E_{11}) \leq (E : E_{11})(F : H_{00}) \\
&\leq (E : E_1)!(F : H)! \leq \frac{1}{s}(F : E)(F : H)! = \frac{1}{r}(F : E)
\end{aligned}$$

This proves (1b).

In order to prove (1a) we first assume that $M_i \leq E$. Then, since $E_0 \leq H$,

$$(M_i \cap E : M_i \cap E_0) \geq (M_i : M_i \cap H) \geq 3.$$

Now assume that $M_iE_1 = F$ and $(E : E_1) \geq 3$. Then $(M_i \cap E)E_1 = E$, so

$$(M_i \cap E : M_i \cap E_0) \geq (M_i \cap E : M_i \cap E_1) = (E : E_1) \geq 3.$$

It follows from Proposition 1.2 that $M \cong \hat{F}_\omega$. $\square$

2. Sparse and abundant subgroups

We can prove that the condition of Lemma 1.3 is satisfied only if there are "many" subgroups between F and M. For example, if MM_1 is "abundant" in F in the sense of the following definition. Luckily, if MM_1 is "sparse" in F then it is isomorphic to $\hat{F}_\omega$ (Lemma 2.4). Use of Haran's Diamond Theorem for profinite groups of infinite rank yields in this case that M itself is isomorphic to $\hat{F}_\omega$(Theorem 2.7).

Definition 2.1 (Sparse and Abundant subgroups)**.** *A closed subgroup M of a profinite group is called* **sparse** *if for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists an open subgroup K of F containing M such that $(F : K) \geq m$, and for every proper open subgroup L of K containing M we have $(K : L) \geq n$. In particular, $(F : M) = \infty$.*

A closed subgroup M of F with $(F : M) = \infty$ which is not sparse is said to be **abundant**.

Example of a sparse subgroup: Let $F = \prod \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ where p runs over all prime numbers. Then 1 is sparse in F.

Lemma 2.2. *Let M be a sparse subgroup of a profinite group F. Then for each open subgroup H of F containing M and for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists an open subgroup K of H containing M with $(F : K) \geq m$ and $(K : L) \geq n$ for each open proper subgroup L of K containing M.*

Proof. Let H be an open subgroup of F containing M, and let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since M is sparse in F, F has an open subgroup K containing M such that $(F : K) \geq m$ and $(K : L) \geq 1 + \max\{n, (F : H)\}$ for each proper open subgroup L of K containing M, in particular $(K : L) > (F : H)$. Then, since $(K : K \cap H) \leq (F : H)$ and $M \leq K \cap H$, it follows that $K \cap H = K$, i.e. $K \leq H$. □

Corollary 2.3. *Let $M \leq H \leq F$ be profinite groups with H open in F. Then, M is sparse in F if and only if M is sparse in H.*

Lemma 2.4. *Let $F = \hat{F}_n$ with $2 \leq n \leq \aleph_0$ and M a subgroup of F. If M is sparse in F, then $M \cong \hat{F}_\omega$.*

Proof. The rank of M is at most $\aleph_0$, so, by Iwasawa, it suffices to prove that every finite embedding problem $(\varphi\colon M \to A, \alpha\colon B \to A)$ for M has a solution [FrJ, Cor. 24.8.3]. Indeed, choose an open subgroup $D \triangleleft F$ with $D \cap M \leq \mathrm{Ker}(\varphi)$ and set $H = MD$. Then H is open in F and φ extends to an epimorphism $\varphi'\colon H \to A$ by $\varphi'(md) = \varphi'(m)$ for $m \in M$ and $d \in D$. Since M is sparse, there is an open subgroup K of H containing M which has no proper open subgroup L containing M and satisfying $(K : L) \leq |B|$ (Lemma 2.2). Moreover, if n is finite, we can choose K such that $1 + (F : K)(n - 1) \geq \mathrm{rank}(B)$. The latter inequality is obvious when $n = \aleph_0$.

By Nielsen-Schreier, K is a free profinite group of rank at least $\mathrm{rank}(B)$. Hence, there exists an epimorphism $\gamma\colon K \to B$ with $\alpha \circ \gamma = \varphi'|_K$ ([FrJ, Prop. 17.7.3] if n is finite and [FrJ, Thm. 24.8.1] otherwise). By the choice of K in the preceding paragraph, $\mathrm{Ker}(\gamma)M = K$. Hence, $\gamma(M) = \gamma(\mathrm{Ker}(\gamma)M) = \gamma(K) = B$. Consequently, $\gamma|_M$ is a solution of the embedding problem (φ, α). □

Lemma 2.5. *Let M be an abundant subgroup of a profinite group F. Then for each $s \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist open subgroups $E_1 \leq E$ of F containing M such that $(F : E) \geq s \cdot (E : E_1)!$ and $(E : E_1) \geq 3$.*

Proof. By definition, there exist $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every open subgroup K of F containing M with $(F : K) \geq m$ there exists an open subgroup L containing M such that $1 < (K : L) \leq n$.

Let $s \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $(F : M) = \infty$, there exists an open subgroup K of F containing M with $(F : K) \geq \max\{s \cdot n!, s \cdot 4!, m\}$. By assumption, K has an open subgroup L containing M such that $1 < (K : L) \leq n$.

If $(K : L) \geq 3$ the subgroups $E = K$ and $E_1 = L$ satisfy the conclusion of the lemma. Otherwise, $(K : L) = 2$. By assumption L has an open subgroup L_0 containing M such that $1 < (L : L_0) \leq n$. If $(L : L_0) \geq 3$, the subgroups $E = L$ and $E_1 = L_0$ satisfy the conclusion of the lemma. Otherwise, $(L : L_0) = 2$ and $(K : L_0) = 4$, so $E = K$, $E_1 = L_0$ satisfy the conclusion of the lemma. □

Lemma 2.6. *Let $F = \hat{F}_e$ with $e \geq 2$, M_1, M_2 open normal subgroups of F, and M a closed subgroup satisfying $M_1 \cap M_2 \leq M$ and $(M_i : M_i \cap M) = \infty$ for $i = 1, 2$. In addition, assume that at least one of the following conditions holds:*

(8a) $(F : MM_1M_2) = \infty$.
(8b) $(F : MM_1M_2) < \infty$ *and* MM_1 *is abundant in* F.
(8c) $(F : MM_1M_2) < \infty$ *and* MM_2 *is abundant in* F.
(8d) $(F : (MM_1) \cap (MM_2)) < \infty$ *and* M *is abundant in* F.

Then $M \cong \hat{F}_\omega$.

Proof. Let $s \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 1.3 it suffices to find open subgroups $E_1 \leq E$ of F containing M such that $(F : E) \geq s \cdot (E : E_1)!$ and for each $i \in \{1, 2\}$ either

(9a) $M_i \leq E$ or
(9b) $M_i E_1 = F$ and $(E : E_1) \geq 3$.

We distinguish between the four cases:

Case A: $(F : MM_1M_2) = \infty$. Choose an open subgroup E of F containing MM_1M_2 such that $(F : E) \geq s$. Put $E_1 = E$. Then $M_1, M_2 \leq E$ and $(F : E) \geq s \cdot (E : E_1)!$.

Case B: *$(F : MM_1M_2) < \infty$ and MM_1 is abundant in F.* By Corollary 2.3, we can replace F by MM_1M_2 in order to assume that $F = MM_1M_2$; it suffices to proof (9) for this F. By Lemma 2.5, F has open subgroups $E_1 < E$ containing MM_1 such that $(F : E) \geq s \cdot (E : E_1)!$ and $(E : E_1) \geq 3$. Then, $M_1 \leq E$ and $E_1M_2 = F$.

Case C: *$(F : MM_1M_2) < \infty$ and MM_2 is abundant in F.* Exchange the indices 1 and 2. Then the result follows from Case B.

Case D: *$(F : (MM_1) \cap (MM_2)) < \infty$ and M is abundant in F.* Let $F' = (MM_1) \cap (MM_2)$. By Corollary 2.3 M is abundant in F'. Put $M_1' = M_1 \cap F'$ and $M_2' = M_2 \cap F'$. Then $MM_1' = MM_2' = F'$. By assumption $(MM_i : M) = (M_i : M_i \cap M) = \infty$. Therefore, since $(M_i : M_i') < \infty$ it follows that $(M_i' : M_i' \cap M) = \infty$.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M_1 \arrow[r, dash] \arrow[d, dash] & M_1M \arrow[r, dash] \arrow[d, dash] & F \arrow[d, dash] \\
M_1' \arrow[r, dash] & F' \arrow[r, dash] \arrow[d, dash] & M_2M \arrow[d, dash] \\
& M_2' \arrow[r, dash] & M_2
\end{tikzcd}$$

Replace F by F', M_1 by M_1', and M_2 by M_2', if necessary, to assume that $MM_1 = F$ and $MM_2 = F$; again it suffices to prove (9) for this F. Lemma 2.5 gives open subgroups $E_1 \leq E$ of F containing M with $(F : E) \geq s \cdot (E : E_1)!$ and $(E : E_1) \geq 3$. Those subgroups satisfy (9b), i.e., $M_1E_1 = F$ and $M_2E_1 = F$. $\square$

Theorem 2.7 (Diamond Theorem)**.** *Let $F = \hat{F}_e$ with $e \geq 2$ an integer, M_1, M_2 closed normal subgroups of F, and M a closed subgroup of F with $(F : M) = \infty$, $M_1 \cap M_2 \leq M$, $M_1 \not\leq M$, and $M_2 \not\leq M$. Then $M \cong \hat{F}_\omega$.*

Proof. If $(M_2 : M_2 \cap M) < \infty$, then $(MM_2 : M) = (M_2 : M \cap M_2) < \infty$, so M is a proper open subgroup of MM_2 with $M_2 \not\leq M$. By Theorem B, $M \cong \hat{F}_\omega$. The same argument gives that if $(M_1 : M_1 \cap M) < \infty$, then $M \cong \hat{F}_\omega$. Thus, we may assume that

$$(M_i : M_i \cap M) = \infty, \text{ for } i = 1, 2. \tag{10}$$

If $(F : MM_1M_2) = \infty$, then (8a) holds. Hence, by Lemma 2.6, $M \cong \hat{F}_\omega$. Thus, we may assume that $(F : MM_1M_2) < \infty$. By Nielsen-Schreier, MM_1M_2 is a finite ranked profinite group of rank at least 2. Thus, we can replace F by MM_1M_2 to assume that $F = MM_1M_2$.

Let $F' = MM_1 \cap MM_2$. We distinguish between two case.

Case A: $(F : F') < \infty$. If M is abundant in F, then (8d) holds. Hence, by Lemma 2.6, $M \cong \hat{F}_\omega$. If M is sparse in F, then by Lemma 2.4, $M \cong \hat{F}_\omega$.

Case B: $(F : F') = \infty$. Then either $(F : MM_1) = \infty$ or $(F : MM_2) = \infty$. Without loss we may assume that $(F : MM_1) = \infty$.

If MM_1 is abundant in F, then (8b) holds. Hence, by Lemma 2.6, $M \cong \hat{F}_\omega$.

Assume therefore that MM_1 is sparse in F. By Lemma 2.4, $MM_1 \cong \hat{F}_\omega$. Put $M_2' = MM_1 \cap M_2$. Then $M_1, M_2' \triangleleft MM_1$, $M_1 \cap M_2' \leq M$ and $M_1 \not\leq M$. If $M_2' \not\leq M$, so by Theorem A, $M \cong \hat{F}_\omega$. Otherwise, $M_2' \leq M$ and thus $M = MM_1 \cap MM_2 = F'$. In particular $(F : MM_2) = (MM_1 : M) = (M_1 : M_1 \cap M) = \infty$ (by (10)).

$$\begin{tikzcd}
MM_1 \arrow[r, dash] \arrow[d, dash] & F \arrow[d, dash] \\
M = F' \arrow[r, dash] \arrow[d, dash] & MM_2 \arrow[d, dash] \\
M_2' \arrow[r, dash] & M_2
\end{tikzcd}$$

If MM_2 is abundant in F, then (8c) holds. Hence, by Lemma 2.6, $M \cong \hat{F}_\omega$. If MM_2 is sparse in F, then M is sparse in MM_1 (because $MM_1/M_2' \cong F/M_2$). Hence, by Lemma 2.4, $M \cong \hat{F}_\omega$.

In each case we have proven that $M \cong \hat{F}_\omega$. $\square$

3. Applications

As in the infinite rank case [FrJ, Thm 25.4.4], it is possible to generalize the Diamond Theorem to the category of pro-$\mathcal{C}$ groups for each Melnikov formation $\mathcal{C}$. This generalization (Theorem 3.1 below) settles Problem 25.4.9 in [FrJ].

Recall that a family $\mathcal{C}$ of finite groups is called a **Melnikov formation** if it is closed under taking quotients, normal subgroups, and extensions. We write $\hat{F}_m(\mathcal{C})$ for the free pro-$\mathcal{C}$ group of rank m.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a Melnikov formation, $2 \le e < \infty$, and M_1, M_2, M be closed subgroups of $F = \hat{F}_e(\mathcal{C})$. Suppose that M is a pro-$\mathcal{C}$ group, $(F : M) = \infty$, $M_1, M_2 \triangleleft F$, $M_1 \cap M_2 \le M$ but $M_1 \not\le M$ and $M_2 \not\le M$. Then $M \cong \hat{F}_\omega(\mathcal{C})$*

Proof. Let $\hat{F} = \hat{F}_e$ and $\hat{N} = M_{\hat{F}}(\mathcal{C})$ the intersection of all open normal subgroups K of $\hat{F}$ with $\hat{F}/K \in \mathcal{C}$. Lemma 17.4.10 of [FrJ] gives an epimorphism $\varphi\colon \hat{F} \to F$ with $\mathrm{Ker}(\varphi) = \hat{N}$. Put $\hat{M}_1 = \varphi^{-1}(M_1)$, $\hat{M}_2 = \varphi^{-1}(M_2)$ and $\hat{M} = \varphi^{-1}(M)$. Then $\hat{M}_1, \hat{M}_2 \triangleleft \hat{F}$, $\hat{N} \le \hat{M}_1 \cap \hat{M}_2 \le \hat{M}$ but $\hat{M}_1 \not\le \hat{M}$ and $\hat{M}_2 \not\le \hat{M}$. By Theorem 2.7, $\hat{M} \cong \hat{F}_\omega$.

In order to prove that $M \cong \hat{F}_\omega(\mathcal{C})$ it suffices now to show that $\hat{N} = M_{\hat{M}}(\mathcal{C})$ [FrJ, Lemma 17.4.10]. Indeed, let L be an open normal subgroup of $\hat{M}$ with $\hat{M}/L \in \mathcal{C}$. Then $\hat{N}/L \cap \hat{N} \cong L\hat{N}/L$ and $L\hat{N}/L \triangleleft \hat{M}/L$, so $\hat{N}/L \cap \hat{N} \in \mathcal{C}$. By [FrJ, Lemma 17.4.10], $L \cap \hat{N} = \hat{N}$, hence $\hat{N} \le L$. It follows that $\hat{N} \le M_{\hat{M}}(\mathcal{C})$. On the other hand, $\hat{M}/\hat{N} \cong M$ is a pro-$\mathcal{C}$ group, so $M_{\hat{M}}(\mathcal{C}) \le \hat{N}$. Consequently, $\hat{N} = M_{\hat{M}}(\mathcal{C})$, as claimed. ☐

There is a notable special case of Theorem 3.1

Corollary 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a Melnikov formation of finite groups, $2 \le e < \infty$, and M_1, M_2 closed normal subgroups of $\hat{F}_e(\mathcal{C})$. Suppose none of the groups M_1 and M_2 is contained in the other and at least one of them has an infinite index. Then $M_1 \cap M_2 \cong \hat{F}_\omega(\mathcal{C})$.*

Haran's Diamond Theorem has been originally proved for Hilbertian fields: Let K be a Hilbertian field, M a separable extension of K, and M_1, M_2 Galois extensions of K. If $M \not\subseteq M_1$ and $M \not\subseteq M_2$ but $M \subseteq M_1M_2$, then M is Hilbertian [FrJ, Thm. 13.8.3]. In particular this theorem holds for ω-free PAC fields, because they are Hilbertian [FrJ, Cor. 27.3.3]. On the other hand, if K is just PAC and e-free for $2 \le e < \infty$, then K is not Hilbertian (because the rank of the absolute Galois group of a Hilbertian field has infinite index). Nevertheless, the theorem is true also for PAC e-free fields:

Theorem 3.3. *Let K be a PAC e-free field with $2 \leq e < \infty$ and M, M_1, M_2 separable extensions of K. Suppose that $[M : K] = \infty$, $M \not\subseteq M_1$, $M \not\subseteq M_2$, but $M \subseteq M_1 M_2$. Then M is Hilbertian.*

Proof. Use Theorem 2.7 for $\mathrm{Gal}(K)$ and its closed subgroups $\mathrm{Gal}(M)$, $\mathrm{Gal}(M_1)$, $\mathrm{Gal}(M_2)$ to get that $\mathrm{Gal}(M) \cong \hat{F}_\omega$. By Ax-Roquette, M is PAC [FrJ, Cor 11.2.5]. Hence, by Roquette, K is Hilbertian [FrJ, Cor. 27.3.3]. $\square$

References

[FrJ] M. Fried, M. Jarden, Field Arithmetic, Second Edition, revised and enlarged by Moshe Jarden. Ergebnisse der Mathematik (3) **11**, Springer, Heidelberg, (2005)

[Har] D. Haran, Hilbertian fields under separable algebraic extensions. Inventiones mathematicae **137**, 85–112 (1999)

[Jar] M. Jarden, A Karrass-Solitar theorem for profinite groups. Journal of Group theory, to appear.

School of Mathematics, The Raymond and Beverly Sackler Faculty of Exact Sciences, Tel-Aviv University, Tel-Aviv, Israel
E-mail address: `barylior@post.tau.ac.il`